## SECRET

### **JOURNAL**

## OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 25 March 1969

| 1. Met with Lieutenant Colonel Seymour 25 Schwiller, on the staff of the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy. I provided him with briefings on compartmented security categories and obtained secrecy agreements from him on these.   | X1         |
|--|------------|
| Picked up from the Joint Committee staff a letter from Ed Bauser, Executive Director of the Committee, to the Director on the subject of possible commercial use of classified U.S. information by some of our NATO allies.  |            |
|  |            |
| Received a call from Miss Barbara Wells, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee staff, who advised that Representative Daniels will be meeting with the Subcommittee on Retirement, Insurance, and Health Benefits in executive session and is expected to report out H. R. 770 during the afternoon. |            |
| 4. Talked to Representative David Henders re S. 782 (Ervin bill) and showed him copies of our recent correspondence with Senator Ervin re appearing in executive session. See Memo for the Record.   | <b>X</b> 4 |

# **SECRET**

25 March 1969

#### MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Representative David N. Henderson re S. 782

- 1. During a forty minute conversation with Mr. Henderson this afternoon. I brought him up-to-date on our activities in connection with the Ervin bill. I showed him copies of Senator Ervin's letters to the Director of 4 and 5 March and the Director's response of 20 March reiterating our request to appear in executive sension.
- 2. Mr. Henderson said he was continuing work on a revision of the Ervin bill which he hoped would meet most of our requirements. He said this revision would, among other things, exempt us from provisions of the present Ervin bill concerning:
  - a. Race, religion or othnic background;
  - b. Personal associations:
  - c. Polygraph examinations;
  - d. Personal financial matters.
- 3. Regarding grievance procedures, Mr. Henderson contemplates amending the Ervin bill to provide that:
  - a. Any aggrieved party must, as a first step, and within fifteen days of the origin of any grievance, file a written complaint within his own agency or department:
  - b. In the event of an adverse ruling, or no response after sixty days, he may file the complaint with an outside board of review:
  - c. In the event of an adverse ruling by the board, he has the option of either appealing to the board for further review, or taking his case to a district court:
  - d. In the event of a ruling against the employee by either the board or the court, the employee would bear all attorney fees, witness costs, etc.

Approved For Release 2006/12/06 : CIA-RDP72-00337R000400030079-6

4. Mr. Henderson doubts that Senator Ervin's version of the bill can be killed in the Senate. If it passes the Senate, he said he plans to go to Senator Ervin, with his own version as described above, and tell Senator Ervin that he is prepared to hold hearings on the bill, but will not report Senator Ervin's version without amendments substantially along the lines noted above. Mr. Henderson hopes that this strategy may persuade Senator Ervin to accept amendments in conference rather than risk Henderson's bottling it up in his Subcommittee.

JOHN M. MAURY Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

Original - Subject

1 - DD/S

1 - Ex/Dir

1 - OGC

1 - Chrono

25X1